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Introduction

The closure of the tomb of Tutankhamun, to be replaced by an exact facsimile, has been much reported in the UK media. It highlights a number of issues and raises some interesting questions. Although this article is centred on the tomb of Tutankhamun, the tomb cannot be discussed in isolation and is put into the wider context of a) conservation issues across the royal cemeteries of the West Bank and b) broader globally-relevant issues of sustainable tourism.

Figure 1. Painted burial chamber in the tomb of Tutankhamun

The principal themes touched upon in this article are heritage management, conservation and sustainable tourism. Conservation is the science and art of preventing further degeneration and achieving stable conditions by means of monitoring devices and physical techniques that should, in theory, be fully reversible. Whatever the demands of conflicting stakeholders, conservation is fundamental to the survival of all sites. The degree to which conservation activities are successful depends upon a number of factors, and in Egypt one of the most important of these is the need to balance the vulnerabilities of sites with the interests of tourists and the economic need for the income generated by tourism. Sustainable tourism, the term used to describe this balancing act, is particularly difficult to achieve where tourist demand for a site is high, and tourist revenue a vital component of a state or local economy. In Egypt, the Valley of the Kings contributes to both the state and local economies, generating revenue and providing employment in all areas of the tourist sector.
Although the decision to close certain tombs and to rotate the opening of others has helped to reduce the further decay of some, the tomb of Tutankhamun has remained open to the public and has been exhibiting the resulting wear and tear for a long time. Matters are not improving. As Brian Fagan puts it “[T]he rape of the Nile is entering a new phase where, instead of looting the past, we destroy it with our love, our breath and sweat and our feet” (2004, p.253). One solution, favoured by the Supreme Council of Antiquities prior to the January 2011 revolution, is to close the tomb and replace it with a facsimile, taking advantage of the precision of modern digital replication techniques to provide visitors with the opportunity to experience the sense of the tomb whilst preserving the original.

Background

The tomb of Tutankhamun, otherwise known as KV62, is one of the smallest and most sparsely decorated. Consisting of four chambers, and lacking the usual long corridors and niches, only the walls of the burial chamber were painted. Although unfinished when the King died ten years into his reign at the age of nineteen, the small tomb was crammed with layer upon layer of furniture, precious objects, and food, all equipment for the afterlife, the chaos resembling a garden shed rather than the resting place of Egypt’s sole ruler. Some of the pieces from the tomb are amongst the world’s most beautiful and most admired treasures. Opened by Howard Carter in 1922, amidst a media circus and a tidal wave of public excitement, the tomb contents, now in the Egyptian Museum in
Cairo, and the tomb itself, in the West Bank of Luxor, are amongst Egypt’s most popular tourist magnets. Remarkably, they have still not been published in full, a task currently being undertaken by the Griffith Institute.

Since 1922 Egypt has received millions of visitors, particularly since the 1960s, when international exhibitions revived public enthusiasm. In London alone, the 1972 exhibition Treasures of Tutankhamen attracted 1,650,000 visitors. Since then, package tours, charter flights and Nile cruises have made the heritage of Egypt very accessible and the tomb of Tutankhamun has been one of the country’s most visited attractions, bringing people to the Valleys of the Kings and Queens, and the nearby monumental temples, drawing in much-needed ticket revenue and introducing into the tombs numerous harmful factors that they that they were not built to handle. This presents the Egyptian government with a dilemma, explained with great clarity by Brian Fagan:

“The authorities face agonizing decisions. Do they admit visitors to royal graves and witness the near-certain deterioration and perhaps disappearance of unique wall paintings from sheer people pressure? Or do they close everything to save it for future generations? . . . The dilemma pits the preservation of the priceless and finite archive that is ancient Egypt against the pressing economic needs of a developing country — altruism for future generations against short-term advantages” (Fagan 2004, p. 252).

In 2008 the Getty Conservation Institute was appointed to work with the Supreme Council of Antiquities to examine the current condition of the tomb and develop an ongoing programme of conservation for it; and in 2009 both the Getty Conservation Institute and Factum Arte, the company responsible for the facsimile of the tomb of Tutankhamun, carried out detailed surveys of the tomb.

Long term plans to close it to the general public to protect it against the damage inflicted on it by the volume of tourists that visit annually are now in doubt, but it is thought that the tomb cannot stay open indefinitely. Estimates of the closure date vary, but it is probable that it will be closed in the next few years. The facsimile, now completed, but not yet available to the public, would enable visitors to experience unprecedented access to an exact copy of the original tomb, allowing the 3000 year old original to be conserved and preserved for the future, the risks of damage significantly reduced.

Humidity, dust and vibration

The Theban Mapping Project’s Site Management Masterplan (2006) says that before the revolution the government planned to build on existing revenue by increasing visitor numbers to 12,000 per day by 2014. But as Lowe and Macmillan Scott point out in their 2012 report The authorized facsimile of the burial chamber of Tutankhamun, the tombs of the Valley of the Kings “were designed to last but were never intended to be visited.”
Figure 4. Tourists in the Valley of the Kings

Even with current restrictions to the number of visitors permitted to enter the tomb, which limit them to 1000 a day, problems are caused by the humidity of human breath, fluctuating heat, organisms brought in on tourists’ clothing, the ongoing vibration from tourist feet and large volumes of sand-filled abrasive dust, which is impossible to remove without damaging paintwork and plaster. The diesel transports introduced several years ago to ferry tourists from the car park at the end of the road that leads to the Valley of the Kings add noise, fumes and oil into the mix, polluting the atmosphere. Combined, these problems are undermining the attachment of paint to plaster and plaster to the rock-cut walls. According to the Theban Mapping Project’s *The Valley of the Kings Site Management Masterplan*, during the height of the 2004 season the Valley of the Kings received 7000 visitors per day and over 1.8 million visitors in total for that year, and before the Egyptian revolution, the *Site Management Plan* operated on the assumption that the current rate of 7000 visitors per day in the Valley of the Kings would potentially reach 15,000-20,000 per day by 2014.

In the Eighteenth Century Joachim Winckelmann proposed that sites and artefacts should be conserved and preserved, not restored, renovated or reconstructed, a view shared by most heritage professionals today. Winckelmann’s proposed approach was formalized much later in a number of charters, including the 1964 *International Charter to the Conservation and Restoration of Monuments and Sites*, otherwise known as the *Venice Charter*. Other charters, available in full on the ICOMOS website, also make recommendations and offer guidelines to support conservation and heritage management. The need for conservation in Egypt was already recognized in the early 1900s by Flinders Petrie, James Breasted and others, and in his excavations of Tutankhamun Howard Carter was already bemoaning the impacts of humidity and dust brought into the tomb by visitors. Egypt has, sporadically, followed some of the guidelines, but conservationist Michael Jones of the *American Research Centre In Egypt* lists some of the more distressing examples of damage to and losses of heritage, together with the causes, in his chapter in Wilkinson’s *Egypt Today*. He cites tourism as “one of the main threats to the survival and authenticity of many Pharaonic monuments,” a difficult threat to challenge due to the importance of tourism to the economy. In 2005, for example, Egypt earned several billion US dollars in tourist revenue, providing work for around 12 per cent of the nation’s workforce.
Figure 5. Three-tombs ticket, Valley of the Kings

The decision to conserve the tombs in the Valley of the Kings has resulted in several initiatives over the last few decades. Although some well-intentioned attempts to preserve the tombs have been less than successful, including the floor-to-ceiling glass panels in some tombs put in place to protect the painted plaster that now trap heat, humidity and dust, arguably doing as much harm as good, others have been more successful. For example, the closure of several tombs, the opening of others only on a schedule of rotation, the banning of guided tours in the tombs themselves (preventing large groups forming unmoving clumps) and the restriction of visitors to only three tombs per visit to the Valley are all measures designed to reduce the impact of tourism on individual tombs. The unpopular ban on photography, too, was intended to protect the sites, both by preventing damage to the paintwork from intense camera flash and by keeping tourists moving through the tombs.

An article by McLane and Wüst in 2000 describes how, in the late 1990s, the American Research Centre in Egypt (ARCE) was commissioned to take steps to prevent damage from flash-floods to the tombs of Ramesses I and Seti I by lowering the ground level in front of the entrances and providing them with protective walls and steps. In 1986 the Getty Conservation Institute was appointed to carry out extensive conservation work in the tomb of Nefertari, one of the most beautiful of all the West Bank tombs, work that was completed in 1992, and is now carrying out a conservation assessment of the Valley of the Queens. In 2006 Kent Weeks and Nigel Hetherington submitted a site management plan for the World Monuments Fund, making recommendations for restricting visitor numbers to the Valley, introducing air flow systems, replacing glass panels with Plexiglass and monitoring temperature humidity to permit the estimation of the number of tourists that should have access to each tomb.

In spite of these various initiatives, Michael Jones is pessimistic about the future of the royal tombs (2008, p.118):

“At the moment, the improvements to the infrastructure and the measures taken to protect the tombs lag so far behind the ever-increasing tourist numbers and international cultural heritage management practices that it is difficult to see how tombs will survive.”

He suggests that “[T]he ultimate solution may be replicas.”

Assessing the Condition of KV62
Figure 6. “Art and Eternity,” one of the two books about the conservation of the tomb of Nefertari

The threats to the tomb of Tutankhamun were once again raised in 2008, when the Getty Conservation Institute (GCI) was appointed by the Supreme Council of Antiquities (SCA) to carry out a conservation assessment project at the tomb of Tutankhamun, over a five year period. The GCI have worked with the SCA before on various projects, including the conservation of the tomb of Nefertari in the Valley of the Queens in the 1980s, the development of oxygen-free cabinets for the Royal Mummies collection in the Cairo Museum and an environmental study of the Great Sphinx at Giza. At the same time, Factum Arte, funded mainly by the Factum Foundation, began work on its facsimile of the tomb of Tutankhamun.

Prior to the GCI’s involvement, a number of measures were taken to attempt to both preserve the tomb and keep it open to tourists. Already recognized as a conservation problem in the 1980s, an acrylic-based coating was applied to the painted walls, both to stabilize their current condition and allow the tomb to remain open to the public. The well-intentioned efforts, completely irreversible, merely exacerbated the existing problems. The impermeable layer, trapping salts, other minerals and water beneath it, caused the substances to leach from the rock into the rock plaster, forcing it from the walls of the tomb. During the Factum Arte survey of the tomb they found that even earlier attempts had been made to reverse the damage, including refilling and repainting, thereby disrupting the integrity of the original.

The Getty’s project is being rolled out in three phases, complying with international guidelines stating that the first stage of conservation should be recording and documentation. During the first phase, the GCI have been assessing the history and condition of the tomb, measuring current conditions against the original Harry Burton photographs from 1922, forming diagnoses of the deterioration of the wall paintings and suggesting solutions to prevent ongoing decay. The environmental monitoring, which began in 2009, includes assessments of exterior and interior conditions, including humidity, temperature, atmospheric particles, carbon dioxide and air exchange. In the second and third phases, rolling out simultaneously, the intention is to implement the conservation plan, establish long-term monitoring systems and train SCA conservators. The results of the project will then be evaluated and disseminated.
Part of the Getty’s remit was to assess the brown spots that disfigure the paintings in the burial chamber and appear on the walls of the other rooms as well. It was speculated, based on Harry Burton’s photographs (taken some time after the tomb opened) that the spots might have been formed when the tomb was opened in 1922, but another theory suggested that the spots may have formed shortly after the tomb was sealed in antiquity. Microbiologist Ralph Mitchell from the Harvard School of Engineering and Applied Sciences, together with chemists from the GCI, inspected the spots and, using molecular analysis and DNA sequencing, found melanins, which are a by-product of fungal activity. It is thought that they formed after the closure of the tomb. Mitchell believes that they were produced by sealing wet paint in the tomb with the mummified body, food offerings and possibly incense, which would have favoured microbial development. The lack of micro-bacteria on the unpainted ceiling tends to confirm this. The implication is that the tomb was both prepared and sealed in a hurry. The micro-organisms have invaded both paint and plaster to the molecular level, meaning that the damage is irreversible. Fortunately, the organisms that created the marks are no longer active, and therefore pose no ongoing threat. As they are part of the process of the tomb’s sealing, it is most unlikely that they will form part of the conservation process.

In January 2011 Zahi Hawass, then secretary-general of Egypt’s Supreme Council of Antiquities, announced that the tomb would soon have to closed for its own protection. Shortly after that, the Arab Spring revolution saw a change of leadership in Egypt, and Hawass lost his job shortly afterwards. Until now the tomb has remained open and a solution that balances the needs of tourism, the economy and the tomb itself has not been implemented, and the sad truth expressed by Michael Jones is that, "no amount of conservation of restoration can halt decay; it can only slow it down" (2008, p.99). However, the completion of the facsimile by private company Factum Arte, suggests that a solution may be at hand.

The value of facsimiles

Before going through some of the objections to facsimiles, it is worth considering why facsimiles are thought to have advantages. There are five main benefits to the creation of a 1:1 facsimile of any site:
• A facsimile is an exact copy, not an approximation of the original
• It offers the ability to conserve and prevent further degradation of the original site
• It offers the ability to record the original state of the site, together with any previously unrecorded and unobserved details
• It has the potential to provide an equivalent experience for tourists and the associated income derived from those visitors to ensure their ongoing involvement, education and pleasure
• It may offer ongoing or additional employment for local residents

Before looking at the Tutankhamun facsimile, it is worth looking briefly at some of its predecessors. Of the numerous examples, the best known are probably both Palaeolithic painted caves, dating to around 17,000 years ago. Lascaux II, is a replica of part of the Palaeolithic painted cave system in France and the Altamira Neocueva is a replica of the complete painted cave in northern Spain. In both cases the threats to the ongoing survival of the caves were taken seriously and decisions were made at both sites to close the caves to the public.

Figure 8. Lascaux

The Palaeolithic cave system of Lascaux, in the Dordogne, is an elaborate network of chambers and corridors that are justifiably famous for their marvellous paintings. Discovered in 1940, it became a post-war tourist attractions, with visitors arriving in ever-increasing numbers to see the stunning art work for themselves. Within the space of two decades the images began to suffer and the difficult decision was taken to close the tomb to the general public, to be replaced eventually with a replica of part of the cave. The replica, named Lascaux II, opened in 1983, eleven years after the closure of the original, after a decade of work. There were concerns that the copy would not attract the same volume of visitors, but although it inspired much debate about whether a copy could replace an authentic experience, Lascaux II continues to be very successful. Building on this success, five parts of the cave not included in Lascaux II have been reproduced and are being exhibited first in Bordeaux, France, before travelling first to the USA and Canada and then Asia on a tour that will not see the exhibition returning to France until 2020.
Altamira near the northern Spanish town of Santillana del Mar, suffered even greater indignities than Lascaux. A serious rock fall 13,000 years ago sealed it until its discovery, and concerns about its stability were regularly expressed in the late 1800s. In 1921 well-intended conservation work was undertaken but today is considered to have been "aggressive" to both interior and exterior (de la Heras et al 2004). Further collapses were recorded during the archaeological excavations of 1924-25, and massive concrete walls and timber beams were installed to prop up the ceiling, completely changing the cave layout and environmental conditions, with serious consequences for the cave. None of this deterred visitors, and by 1973 numbers reached 177,000, at which point the problems were acknowledged and the question became how to balance the revenue needs of the region with the conservation requirements of the site. The first step was to reduce visitor numbers, and then to close the cave completely in 1977. In 1982 it was re-opened to a limited number of visitors, and with the return of visitors the local tourist industry began to revive. But analysis of the cave’s condition during the years of its closure indicated that the closure had benefited it enormously, and the question of a replica was raised. Using Lascaux II as a model, a new museum experience was planned, with a replica cave at its centre. The National Geographic Institute of Spain made a digital model of the entire cave, on a highly detailed grid system, and skilled artists supplemented the digital elements, whilst a milling machine reproduced the natural relief and crack system in the cave. The Neocueva represents Altamira as it was when its Palaeolithic painters last saw it, before the rockfalls and modern supports, giving the visitor an idea of what it would have looked like in the Palaeolithic. The visitors have returned in droves, boosting the local economy and preventing further damage to the original site.

A rather different proposition is the touring exhibition *Tutankhamun: His Tomb and Treasures*, a specially commissioned display of over 1000 replicas of objects from the tomb of Tutankhamun, including the famous golden mask and three of the four golden shrines. As there have been touring exhibitions featuring original items from the tomb, the value of an exhibition of replicas could well be questioned. In fact, although billed as being travelling exhibitions, the exhibitions featuring original items from the tomb, most notably *Tutankhamun and the Golden Age of Pharaohs* and *Tutankhamun, The Golden Beyond* have visited very few European destinations, one Australian destination, and have been touring mainly in the U.S. The Egyptian authorities have stated that when the items return to Egypt, there will be no more travelling exhibitions.

For those who are unable to visit the exhibitions but wish to see items from the tomb, a visit to Egypt will be necessary. As this is not a practical proposition for everyone, the idea of exhibitions of replicas may well be the
answer. Although they don’t have the same “wow” factor as confronting an original item, the items have an impact of their own. Jaromir Malek, former Keeper of the Archive of the Griffith Institute, was dubious about the benefits of such an exhibition before he went to visit it himself, asking the question “Can there be any advantages in exhibiting mere replicas of objects found by Carter & Carmarvon in Tutankhamun’s tomb” (2009, p.2). But he concludes that whilst it is not the same, missing that certain “frisson” that an encounter with the original objects will provide, the exhibition certainly has real benefits. First, items that will never leave Egypt again and are not present in the current exhibitions of original items are included. Second, they can be manhandled and displayed in a way that the originals could never be, meaning that highly imaginative displays can be arranged which in the case of this exhibition includes a display, in a smaller-than-life tomb replica of how the valuable items were deposited in a haphazard fashion in each of the chambers. Finally, the emphasis is not on creating blockbuster impact, but on information and education using fresh and imaginative devices to involve the visitor. Malek concludes: “So, somewhat reluctantly, from being a sceptic I have become a convert. This exhibition can do things which no other, perhaps with the exception of future virtual reality shows, is able to do.”

Both Lascaux and Altamira projects demonstrate how economic, visitor and heritage needs can be balanced. Both are success stories in terms of visitor satisfaction, sustainable tourism, heritage management and local employment, providing a useful model for other projects faced with similar dilemmas. In both cases, ticket sales continue to contribute to conservation work in the Palaeolithic caves. Similarly, the exhibition of replicas, *Tutankhamun: His Tomb and Treasures*, received 30,000 visitors a day in its first venue in Brno in the Czech Republic and has since attracted over 3 million at other venues.

**The Tutankhamun facsimile**
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Figure 10. The Factum Arte facsimile of the KV62 burial chamber

Facsimiles of Egyptian sites and objects are not a new idea. The first copy of Tutankhamun’s tomb was made in 1924, under the direction of Arthur Weigall, who had been present at the opening of the tomb. In 1978 a very successful exhibition in the Museo Arqueológico Nacional in Madrid, Spain, included a three dimensional photographic copy of the tomb of Nefertari, through which visitors could walk and experience the life-sized simulation of the marvellous art work. It was accompanied by an exhibition and a monograph that provided translations of all of the tomb’s inscriptions. In 1987 a reconstruction was built in Dorchester Museum,
Dorchester, England, and another has been receiving visitors in Cairo since 1992. The most bizarre of the reproductions is perhaps that installed in *The Luxor*, a famous casino and hotel in Las Vegas, its strangeness derived from the profound antithesis between the secrecy of the royal necropolis and the blatant commercialism of one of the most extrovert entertainment centres of the world. Recently, as mentioned above, there has been a touring exhibition of accurate replicas from the tomb of Tutankhamun, *Tutankhamun – His Tomb and his Treasures*, which has attracted over 3 million visitors so far; and a facsimile of the tomb of Tuthmosis III was created for a travelling exhibition.

![Image](image_url)

**Figure 11.** The Factum Arte facsimile of the tomb of Tuthmosis III

In 1988 the Society of Friends of the Royal Tombs of Egypt, was established by Theodor Abt and Professor Erik Hornung, supported by the SCA and based in Switzerland. Part of their vision was to create exact copies, facsimiles, which would permit the closure of vulnerable tombs for essential conservation and preservation, whilst still enabling tourists to experience the full glory of the originals. They have been working in the Valley of the Kings since 2001, when the Seti I tomb project was inaugurated, with Factum Arte, the company responsible for the Tutankhamun facsimile. Factum Arte, based in Spain, has worked on many other artistic projects, providing all of the above-listed benefits. Its projects include both two-dimensional paintings, including a replica of Leonardo Da Vinci’s *The Last Supper*, and more ambitious three-dimensional creations like the tomb of Tuthmosis III created to accompany the travelling exhibition *The Quest for Immortality: Treasures of Ancient Egypt*. They have used advances in digital image capture, adapting existing equipment and devising new hardware and software solutions to meet new challenges as they appeared. For the Tutankhamun tomb project, which required working in very restricted spaces (at one point only 1.26 metres lies between the sarcophagus and the tomb wall) a number of approaches were used in combination to examine and reproduce the painted surfaces, the red quartzite sarcophagus itself and the sarcophagus lid.

Although the burial chamber is the only one of the chambers to be reproduced, the facsimile has been provided with a short ramp, echoing the original, and an antechamber which will provide visitors with the sense of passing from the bright outdoors into the darker interior of the tomb.

As with the Getty’s project, the Factum Arte plan conforms to the basic tenets of conservation, and began with a phase of recording and documentation. The data was collected in 2009 over a six week period, during which around 1000 visitors a day were still visiting the tomb and asking a myriad of questions, and then a further three years were taken to complete the facsimile. The photographic data has been supplied to the Getty Conservation
Institute to assist with the completion of their report, and for the purposes both of comparing the tomb’s state in the past and allowing monitoring of how it changes in the future.

Figure 12. Damage to the original paintings in KV62

One of the benefits of the six week data collection phase was the chance to observe and document conservation issues. The high resolution photographs clearly demonstrate the fragility of the paint and, where it has flaked off, the underlying plaster. The record taken of the current paintwork will be an important resource for conservation and research students in the future, capturing such details as the different paintbrush techniques used to apply different pigments and to paint different features and the ways in which the ceiling was carved and prepared.

The GCI have to take the earlier attempts to repair and preserve the tomb into their conservation plans. The intention is for the conservation and maintenance plan to serve as a model for the preservation of other tombs.

The research that concludes that the brown spots on the tomb walls were probably the result of the tomb having been closed with wet paint led to the decision for the spots to be replicated in the facsimile. They are now understood as part of the story of Tutankhamun’s burial, not the result of modern damage, and this has been appropriately reflected in the facsimile.

Although the facsimile is fabricated from modern materials, which will be far more durable than Eighteenth Dynasty pigments and fixing agents, and will have many more visitors each year than the original, it will be interesting to see how it fares under such relaxed conditions. It cannot be used as a measure of how the original tombs will respond to the ongoing attentions of tourists, but analysis of the wear and tear may help conservators to refine their plans for tourist access to the original tombs.

The replica was officially unveiled in November 2012 in the Conrad Hotel in Cairo, at the opening of the EU Task Force Conference on Tourism and Flexible Investment in Egypt, to coincide with the 90th anniversary of the tomb’s discovery. The occasion was attended by hundreds of journalists, television cameras and photographers, and an absolute plethora of articles appeared on the subject in the media, accompanied by photographs of both the original tomb (both from the 1920s and today) and the facsimile.
So, just how much of a facsimile is the facsimile?

Figure 13. Scanning the painted surfaces of KV62

There are always concerns that facsimiles are somehow untrue to the original. The important aspect of a facsimile, as opposed to reconstructions, is that it aims to be an exact life-sized copy, capturing all surface details and nuances of the original on a 1:1 scale. Anyone who has seen the myriad of versions of the famous Nefertiti bust will know the difference between offering a rough likeness to the original and something that cannot be distinguished from the original by the human eye alone. The facsimile of the tomb of Tutankhamun has been created using a positive armoury of technology and human expertise.

A combination of different devices were used to replicate the tomb, comprising three high resolution scanners, dedicated software, two cameras, a router and a flat-bed printer. Techniques used to complete the facsimile included rigorous colour matching and the merging, or “stitching” of 3-dimensional and colour data. Human intervention has been crucial in ensuring that the technology has produced not just a facsimile, but an equivalent experience.

Each piece of technology had a different role in the acquisition of data. The Seti scanner, recording 100 million points per square meter, is supported by the Sidio-Pro white light scanner, which specializes in airing 3-D information as a set of co-ordinates to produce dense point-clouds consisting of millions of points. Parallel photography, a technique that places a camera parallel to a wall’s surface from a fixed distance, captures high resolution data (600-800 DPI) at a ratio of 1:1. The 16,000 photographs, which make up a complete photographic record of the painted surfaces, comprises 300 GB of data.

Figure 14. Swatches used to match the colours of the tomb to the facsimile
The 3-D and photographic information was supplemented by research into the pigments, binders and techniques used to apply the decoration in the tomb, and the colours were calibrated manually to ensure that the visual experience equated to the original as it was recorded in 2009, complete with any of the changes that time has inflicted upon it. Colours were compared by means of hundreds of swatches to ensure that the colours in the facsimile corresponded exactly to those in the tomb under the same lighting conditions, thereby limiting the sense that “replicas inevitably depart from their prototypes in ambience” (Lowenthal 1985, p.291). A specially designed flat-bed inkjet printer was used to print the facsimile. Again, human intervention was required to ensure that the print-outs matched up with the colour samples.

The router, a type of drill that engraves surfaces to different levels depending on the data fed into it, was responsible for recreating the relief of the tomb’s surfaces on the polyurethane panels that make up the tombs walls.

Figure 15. Photograph and facsimile of the missing section

During the entry into the tomb by Carter and his team, part of the painted south wall of the burial chamber was removed, its current whereabouts unknown. The Factum Arte team have used the 1922 black and white photographs of the area prior to the damage being inflicted, and have been able to reconstruct the missing section. The image of Isis has been restored in full, but in the case of the three accompanying deities, where the original colours are debatable, those sections are represented in the facsimile by monochrome sections to show the visitor where the facsimile ends and where the interpretation of the photographs begins.

But is a facsimile authentic?

It sounds as though the answer to that question would be quite straightforward, because how can a copy of something authentic be authentic itself? One’s instinctive response is to suggest that, whatever other benefits it might offer, a replica lacks authenticity. But this is not necessarily the case. Factum Arte does not dodge the question. When considering the role of facsimiles in Luxor, they observe that the facsimiles “are redefining the relationship between the original and the copy – re-negotiating the complex relationship between originality and authenticity” (Lowe and Macmillan Scott 2012, p.7).

Authenticity is defined in different ways by different people. In the strictest sense, the definition by conservationist Michael Jones, is usefully rigorous:
Authenticity involves preserving as much of the original as possible, as well as avoiding intrusive and sympathetic modern features such as arenas for sound and light or stage performances, electricity posts, such as those running through the middle of the main temple at Tanis, and even high-rise buildings overlooking an ancient site. It also includes protective measures that preserve authenticity and avoid invasive action: for example, walkways over original temple pavements to protect the stones, rather than replacing the worn-out slabs with mismatched modern stones.

Read it, Kamak, and weep. I still have the scar on one ankle from an unwelcome encounter with one of the sand-embedded flood-lights attached to the sound and light show at the temple.

So authenticity is at least partly about preservation of an original, however big or small, to maintain its integrity as something ancient. But the literal authenticity of the site itself is only a part of the story, because artefacts and sites are now research projects or tourist attractions, and have been perceived, understood and redefined in numerous ways, some of them bearing no relation to the original purpose of an object or site, often altering and even undermining the very idea of its authenticity. Lynn Meskell develops this theme: “The past is neither fixed nor complete, but open to a series of creative reworkings . . . . Encountering the silence of a long-dead civilization, we search in vain for memorable signs, desperately misreading them” (2004, p.184).

Figure 16. Walls and tourism in the Valley of the Kings

Modern perceptions can erode such strict definitions of authenticity by introducing human responses and ideas that have nothing to do with the site’s original purpose. As a tourist or researcher it is impossible to experience any ancient site in the way that was intended by its creators. You only have to look at the miles of tidy stone walls and entrances in the Valley of the Kings and the tidy wooden walkways that lead visitors into the depths of long, sloping tombs, to understand this.

The tombs were supposed to be sealed for eternity and hidden from view after the priests and family members had departed and workers had done their work to conceal the entrance from view, full of the items that were either stolen by tomb robbers or that found their way into museums. The owners of these sacred tombs would be horrified at the invasion of their afterlife homes, the removal of their contents and even their mummified bodies. We do not experience these, or any other sites, in the way that their original owners intended, and our experience is therefore, by definition, inauthentic. Even those who claim to believe in the ancient Egyptian religion cannot experience it as people 3000 years ago did, when it was alive and well and forming a common language of understanding and belief. David Lowenthal, who sees the past as an artefact of the present, makes the point well:
“However faithfully we preserve, however authentically we restore, however deeply we immerse ourselves in bygone times, life back then was based on ways of being and believing incommensurable with our own. The past’s difference is, indeed, one of its charms: no-one would yearn for it if it merely replicated the present. But we cannot help but view and celebrate it through present day lenses” (1985, p.xvi).

However sympathetic we may be, we are interlopers from the future, and as David Lowenthal indicates, it is arguable that we cannot link to the past that we see before us in any way that would be recognized by those to whom the tomb was so important 3000 years ago.

Figure 17. Possibly the most famous image of ancient Egypt: The death mask of Tutankhamun

These modern experiences are an unambiguous signal of how we relate to the past very much in our own terms and with our own perspectives. I was at a conference a few years ago when a well-known scholar made the point that Egypt would always have the advantage over Mesopotamia, in terms of generating public interest, because Egypt is full of colour, gold and glamour, whereas in Mesopotamia “everything is brown.” As tourists, television viewers and book-lovers, most western Egyptophiles are relating to beauty and art, not the daily grind of Dynastic socio-economic life. It is an interest without the wider context of daily living. Responding to ancient Egypt in this way is perfectly valid, but in a question of whether we are enjoying the authentic, we need to question whether, in visiting tombs and temples, we were ever enjoying the authentic, even though we are in its presence.

The difficulties surrounding authenticity arise not only with facsimiles and copies, but with interpretations. Eric Hornung’s 1999 book about how Egyptian spirituality has been re-interpreted and re-invented over the years is a lesson in itself about how the past can be reinterpreted in anything but authentic ways. More graphically, the famous Neolithic burial site of Newgrange in Ireland, with its beautifully carved stones and its opening to allow the sun to light the burial chamber on midsummer solstice, was excavated and restored from 1962 to 1975, and its exterior was rebuilt as an interpretation of what it might have looked like. This interpretation informs the minds of visitors and leaves them with the impression that they are looking at what was here in the past, when it may be nothing of the sort. Facsimiles, by contrast, do not interpret the past – that’s the job of archaeologists, visitor centres and museums. Facsimiles reproduce the present-day incarnation of the original as accurately as technically possible.
Then there's the question of aura. Aura, a word defined by Cornelius Holtorf as "the form in which age and authenticity can supposedly be sensed from the object itself" (p.15 2005) incorporates the idea that a modern person receives a sense of something unique by looking at sites or objects from the distant past. Jaromír Malek refers to the same response as "frisson." Although Michael Shanks (1988) believes that aura is an attribute of an object, not something that people bring to a site, the reality is probably nearer to David Lowenthal's view that "[T]he felt past is a function of atmosphere as well as locale" (1985, p.240). Lynn Meskell ties the ideas of aura and authenticity together: "Authenticity is akin to the transmittable essence of a thing, including its substantive duration and its biographical history" (2004, p.182).

![Figure 18: The interior of the pyramid of Khufu at Giza](image)

This sense of aura and authenticity is easiest to achieve at sites that aren't teeming with tourists and have been left as undisturbed as possible by modern features that throw up barriers between the site and the visitor. The almost completely undamaged Bronze Age copper mine at the Great Orme in north Wales is a good example. Apart from lighting, little has changed since child miners crawled along horizontal shafts with only candle light to guide their way, and the samples of uncollected malachite still in the rock walls beg the question "why?" The involvement with the past is intimate and as authentic as any such experience can be. This sense of awe and respect when standing in front of something so old and remarkable is very real. Jaromir Malek hits the nail on the head when he observes of Tutankhamun's treasures in the Cairo Museum "Some of the original objects, such as furniture, would have been used by the king in his lifetime, and the idea that Tutankhamun's bottom once rested on the chair in front of us is mindboggling" (2009, p.2).

As this example demonstrates and as Lowenthal's above comment illustrates, aura is created, in part, by context. Anyone who has visited the pyramids of Giza with the image of an empty desert with these magnificent edifices sitting in splendid isolation will be disappointed. The approach to the pyramids is a bedlam of coach parks, camel rides, shops and western style restaurants at its edges, with a never-ending swirl of tourists, postcard, souvenir, camel- and horse-ride sellers and tourist police. Even behind the vast wall that separates the pyramids from Cairo, hawkers still plague visitors in spite of repeated promises that they will be ousted. Until you climb into the dimly lit pyramid and are overtaken by the sheer scale of the thing, there is precious little aura to be felt. At the Valley of the Kings the sense of antiquity is always overwhelmed by the sheer volume of tourists and their guides. And there are always heavy restrictions on where you can go and what you can see. Facsimiles offer
a much less restrictive experience with greater freedom of movement, lower security and the revival of the site as it was in the past, before the infliction of modern damage and security precautions. Experiencing something old is not necessarily the same as experiencing something authentic, and often it doesn’t seem to matter. As Holtrof remarks (2005, p.119) “Intriguingly, some objects retain very strong aura even when it is openly stated that the actual material of which they consist is more recent.”

This point is perhaps made most clearly by two beautiful historic sailing ships, both maintained in dry dock. The Vasa, a warship built in Sweden in 1628, sunk in the harbour only minutes after launching, with a massive loss of life. Nearly perfectly preserved in the brackish waters in the still-used Stockholm harbour, she was raised in the 1961. It was found that the waterlogged wood was one eighth water, and this had to be replaced by a chemical substance to maintain the structural integrity of the ship. So what we now see is at least one eighth modern materials. But somehow it doesn’t seem to matter, and the visitors to this astonishing vision and its surrounding visitor centre, myself included, seem completely unaffected by this unconcealed knowledge. Unlike the often depressing Giza plateau and the well trodden landscape of the Valley of the Kings, the Vasa remains evocative; it retains its aura.

Figure 19. The Cutty Sark and the Vasa

A slightly different story can be told of the Cutty Sark, a giant and very beautiful tea clipper, launched in 1869 and dry-docked since 1954 in Greenwich, London. This glorious piece of heritage is now built partially of modern wood since a fire burned down most of her superstructure in 2006. Now, after several millions of pounds of rebuilding and restoration work, the local community are delighted to have her back, the exhibition within and beneath her has been expanded and modernized and the visitors are back in ever increasing numbers. Although parts of her have undergone conservation the truth is that much of this was a restoration project and although she retains her aura, the act of negligence that resulted in the fire meant that she is now only partially original. Even though, if we adhere strictly to Michael Jones’s definition, we must accept that the Cutty Sark is no longer fully authentic, people respond to her as though she is 19th Century through and through. We are lucky to have what is, like the Vasa, part original and part modern solution to the problem of imminent or actual destruction. Neither of the above-mentioned ships, although much-loved and receiving thousands of visitors, are in the same condition as they were when they were completed in antiquity. In the case of the tomb of Tutankhamun, this type of restoration work could be avoided by taking all possible measures to reduce the threats to its safety.

In all cases where ancient sites and objects have survived into the present day, we are still experiencing a later incarnation of the original site or object. As Adam Lowe commented recently: “Originality is not a state of being but a process. How objects are valued over time becomes part of the process.”
I suspect that what one takes away from a facsimile is very much a matter of personal attitude, and as such I will finish this section with a short personal comment about the World War I trench simulation in London’s Imperial War Museum. At the side of a gallery full of medals, uniforms, weapons, all of which are 100% authentic, there was a simulated First World War trench experience, complete with lighting, sound effects and some unpleasant surprises. This was no fairground attraction, and it succeeded in bringing wartime horrors to life for me in a way that the original museum pieces were unable to do. In this particular case, the simulation was, to me, much more powerful and gripping than the displays of historic items, as important and moving as they were. How authentic the effect was is impossible to say without speaking to someone who both survived the trenches and experienced the exhibit, but it certainly pushed me nearer to an appreciation of that particular past than I had before I visited.

Whether the authenticity or aura of a site is retained at the site depends to an enormous extent on how it is experienced. Some original sites have lost both their sense of authenticity and their aura, whereas their replicas have a much greater sense of what the original was like. What you get out of a simulation, copy or facsimile depends partly on what you bring to it. A sense of curiosity and the willingness to accept a facsimile as a facsimile, together with the knowledge that by accepting something new, the ancient marvel that it replaces will be preserved, should be enough for most of us.

For a short time it is hoped that KV62 and the facsimile will be open together, allowing both scholars and the public to compare for themselves the original site and the facsimile, enabling them to judge for themselves the authenticity of the replacement.

**Will the facsimile attract visitors?**

![Image](image.png)

Figure 20. The KV62 facsimile

This question is tied in closely to the previous section’s discussion of whether experiencing the original actually matters. All the available evidence argues that the answer is probably that it will indeed attract paying visitors. Factum Arte themselves believe that attitudes towards the value of replicas has taken at least ten years to
change, with the idea of facsimiles producing “a reaction of scorn from the cultural elite and a sense of being cheated from the public” (Lowe and Macmillan Scott 2012, p.14). But they and other writers have observed a significant change in attitude in the last decade, with the public and scholars alike valuing the role of facsimiles in reuniting the public with equivalent experiences in the vicinity of the original sites.

Michael Jones believes that replicas in Egypt have been rejected to date for financial reasons, but he, like many others, points to the successes of Altamira and Lascaux and believes that they may well offer the best opportunity for the preservation of the royal tombs. Helen Nicholson in The Daily Mail says that when Lascaux II was opened some 20 years ago, it was a great attraction. Today, the number of visitors to the new cave has risen from 100,000 visitors 20 years ago to over 250,000 per year, with about 5 million visitors recorded in total since Lascaux II opened. At Altamira, where the old museum of 60,000 square metres has been expanded to 160,000 square metres, more than 200,000 visitors were recorded at the Neocueva between 19th July until 30th December 2001. Perhaps more relevant to the Tutankhamun facsimile, Factum Arte’s copy of the burial-chamber of Tuthmosis III, unveiled in 2003, has already had more than 3 million visitors during its tour with the exhibition The Quest for Immortality: Treasures of Ancient Egypt.

Replicas seem to be successful, and the public appears to understand why they are necessary. They are not a matter of trying to fool people; they are trying to involve them in heritage management by given the public an altogether richer experience, with closer and unlimited access to something that far more closely resembles the site as it was last seen by the people who created and used it. It seems likely that people who want to get a feeling for what sort of space was filled by the staggering number of objects will still want to visit and experience the size of the tomb and view the replica paintings, seeing facsimiles not as fakes but opportunities.

Speaking for myself, a replica of the tomb of Horemheb in the Valley of the Kings would be superb – I have never seen it and have always wanted to see the fragments provided by photographs in books presented as they were supposed to be seen. Of course it would be nice to see the original, but I respect the reason for the tomb’s closure and an exact facsimile would do me just as well from the point of view of visualizing and feeling how the tomb was put together and experiencing how the paintings were organized into a comprehensible narrative. And I will be amongst the first in line to see the replica of the tomb of Seti I. As Troy Lovato puts it (2007, p.17), visitors are “sawy enough to know that inauthentic objects can convey authentic ideas”.

It is hoped that the tomb will be accompanied by a visitor centre to provide information about the tomb’s owner, its history, the discovery and its wider context. At the same time, one of the good things about the replica is that it will allow visitors to interact with the tomb directly, to form their own impressions.

When and where will the facsimile be located?
Figure 21. Repeated checking of the colours that were used to create the facsimile

At the moment there is no date for when KV62 will be closed. Although Hawass stated in 2011 that the tomb would soon have to close, and Mike Pitts, editor of British Archaeology, was convinced that it would be closed by the end of 2011 (Pitts 2011), it is still open, thanks in part to the Arab Spring revolution of that year. Egypt’s first post-revolution Minister of Tourism, Hisham Zazou, is quoted in The Independent, saying that the fall-off in visitor numbers has taken the pressure off the tomb, and that until the visitor numbers recover no decision will have to be made about the tomb’s closure (Beach 2012). Whilst the pressure may have been reduced, it has not gone away and Factum Arte’s view that the tomb will continue to suffer offers a warning for the future: “We do not have the conservation techniques to allow multiple visits to the original without altering the appearance of the tomb or compounding the problems that will face future generations” (Lowe and Macmillan Scott 2012, p.14).

The ongoing Getty Conservation Institute conservation project findings have yet to be released, but may provide a clearer view on the fate of the tomb. Hopefully a decision about when and where the location for the facsimile will be made long before KV62 closes and its replacement needed. When the idea of replicas was first discussed in Egypt, it was suggested that they might be located in Cairo, which seemed a little inappropriate given that tourists visiting the Valley of the Kings might reasonably expect their Kings Valley experience to be located in the vicinity of the other tombs, but this idea seems to have been abandoned, at least for the present. In 2010 the Supreme Council of Antiquities proposed to install the KV62 replica near to Howard Carter’s Dig House at the entrance to the Valley of the Kings, but the present head of Antiquities, Mohamed Ibrahim, has said that it is unlikely that this will now be the location. Although the Factum Arte report states quite clearly that it was a condition that the facsimile should be on Luxor’s West Bank, so that “it benefits the Valley of the Kings and provides work for people on Luxor’s West Bank” (Lowe and Macmillan Scott 2012, p.13), Minister of Antiquities Mohamed Ibrahim is quoted in the Daily News Egypt saying that it might be located instead in Sharm el Sheikh or Hurghada as an advert for the Valley of the Kings (Heine 2012). Removing it so far from its context might well deprive it from much of its sense of authenticity, and seems a terrible shame.

Weighing nearly four tons, the facsimile will be stored in the European Union embassy in Cairo until it is needed. It remains unclear exactly when and where it will be installed.

**Future plans**
For the immediate future, Factum Arte are hoping that the recorded data will be readily available to the public on a Creative Commons licence, but they emphasise that all copyright and commercial benefits will belong to the Supreme Council of Antiquities. How accessible the images will be to the public and to publications wishing to use them to illustrate articles has not yet been made clear.

Already the Factum Foundation website offers a high resolution image navigator to enable people to explore the tomb of Tutankhamun. It is an interactive Flash-based viewer application developed for the Supreme Council of Antiquities and other specialists for studying and monitoring the tomb, and this will be developed to permit users to move around the tomb, zooming in on areas of particular interest.

A measure of the confidence that both Egypt and the facsimile builders have in the product lies in the fact that the same company is expected to begin working on replicas of the tombs of Seti I and Queen Nefertari, which have both been closed to the general public for over a decade. Both tombs are much larger than that of Tutankhamun. The intention is for Factum Arte to train Egyptian specialists to do much of the work, based at custom-built workshops to be located in Luxor, so that the local community can benefit. The projects should take around five years to complete.

Figure 22. A fragment from the tomb of Seti I in the British Museum, London

The tomb of Seti I will present some significant challenges due to its length, the heights of some of the chambers, the angle of the slope towards the burial chamber, and the sheer quantity and quality of the painting on walls and ceilings, covering 2300 square metres, some of it very damaged. Again, given the degradation of the tomb of Seti I since its discovery in 1817, this represents a great opportunity to see a tomb that would probably never again be available to the general public. It is the intention of the project to fill the gaps left by the removal of certain pieces of the tomb for museum collections. According to Factum Arte there are well over 100 fragments around the world. Interestingly two of the larger fragments that were removed, a pair of door posts form Corridor G, one in Florence and one in Paris, have been restored in different ways, and now "look very different from each other and from the original tomb" (Lowe and Macmillan Scott 2012, p.61). How this type of problem will be handled will be one of the challenges facing the Seti I team.

The tomb of Nefertari, which underwent major conservation and restoration by the Getty Conservation Institute during the 1980s should be less difficult than that of Seti I, but will still represent a challenge. The painted surfaces cover an area of 900 square metres, a much smaller area than that of Seti I, but considerably larger than KV62 and with considerably more paintwork than the smaller tomb.
It is planned that the work to record both tombs and the building of the new facsimiles will be carried out by a Luxor-based Egyptian team who will be trained by the Factum Arte specialists. Workshops will be set up in Luxor to serve as local bases. A new scanner, the Lucida, has been developed specially for these projects, so that the high-relief of the surfaces can be captured accurately. Both facsimiles should be very welcome additions for visitors who might otherwise have to forgo the experience of all three tombs entirely. Both Factum Foundation and the Society of Friends of the Royal Tombs of Egypt are committed to raising the funding from private and public bodies, which will amount to some 20 million Euros.

Conclusions

Figure 23. Working on the replica

Tourism closed the tomb of Nefertari in the Valley of the Kings several times, and it is now only available to the very wealthy or to researchers. The tomb of Tutankhamun, even more popular, with visitors to Luxor, has suffered to the point where tourism can no longer be sustained. Rather than denying tourists the opportunity to see for themselves where Tutankhamun’s treasures were stored in such a small and relatively plainly decorated tomb, a replica has been constructed, which will allow visitors to experience the tomb without any of the former restrictions.

Whether tourists will appreciate the alternative remains to be seen, but the replica will certainly offer them an ongoing opportunity to imagine the treasures from the tomb, now kept in the Cairo Museum, into something like their original context. And Factum Arte found that most of the tourists who asked questions while their team was carrying out survey work were pleased that a solution was being found, and were happy to sacrifice the experience of the original for that of an exact copy. Hopefully tourists will be glad to become part of the solution, rather than the cause of the problem, enjoying both the sense of responsibility and the artwork in the facsimile. As Mike Pitts said, writing about the facsimile, “Heritage tourism may be good for economies but, badly managed, it harms the heritage. It’s right that our access should be controlled” (Pitts 2011).

The Valley of the Kings Site Management Masterplan put forward by Kent Weeks and Nigel Hetherington is
already being actioned. The Getty Conservation Institute’s five year project should be completed in 2014. At this time all the information gathered by the team should be synthesized and the GCI’s conservation plan and other recommendations should be ready to present to the SCA. It is thought that treatment of the tomb, sarcophagus and the gilded coffin will then take an additional two years to complete. As part of the project, a monitoring and maintenance program will be devised and visitor carrying capacity will be assessed, resulting in a visitation policy which will incorporate visitor numbers, lighting, ventilation, and commercial uses including filming and photography. The Tutankhamun project will, it is hoped, provide a case study, which will form the model for further conservation work in the area. It is intended that the income from ticket sales to the facsimile will be used, at least in part, to conserve and maintain KV62, securing its future as far as possible and it is hoped that under these conditions serious researchers will still be able to access the original tomb if their research requires it.

Regarding the very welcome facsimile of the tomb of Tutankhamun, I’ll leave the final word with Mike Pitts: “No, it’s not the real tomb. But it is a real facsimile, and when you visit you will become part of a cutting-edge research project. Before, you were just a pan-scourer” (Pitts 2011).

Figure 24. The facsimile in the making
Figure 25. The Factum Arte replica, ready for display to the public
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